Psychotherapeutic and Personal Development Interventions for Burnout Reduction Among Judges: A Mechanism-Based Integrative Review

Marinela BĂDELE ✉ ✉
Department of Psychology, Spiru Haret University, Romania
Psychologist, Psychology Structure of The Craiova Court of Appeal, Romania

Natalia MAIER✉
University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Craiova, Romania

Article's History
Received 9th of January, 2026; Revised 26th of February, 2026; Accepted 7th of March, 2026; Available online: 11th of March, 2026; *Published* as review article in Volume IV, Issue 4, 2026.

© The Author(s) 2026. Published by RITHA Publishing. This article is distributed under the terms of the license CC-BY 4.0., which permits any further distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited maintaining attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

Cite this article:
Bădele, M., Maier, N. (2026). Psychotherapeutic and Personal Development Interventions for Burnout Reduction Among Judges: A Mechanism-Based Integrative Review. *Journal of Contemporary Approaches in Psychology and Psychotherapy*, Volume IV, Issue 4, 7 – 18. https://doi.org/10.57017/jcapp.v4.i4.01

Abstract

This paper provides an integrative review of personal development and psychotherapeutic interventions aimed at reducing burnout and enhancing psychological well-being in the judicial profession. Drawing on evidence from clinical psychology and occupational health, the study analyses the effectiveness of interventions such as cognitive-behavioural therapy, mindfulness-based approaches, yoga, ecotherapy, and self-regulation techniques. Particular emphasis is placed on the psychological mechanisms underlying these interventions, including emotional regulation, cognitive restructuring, resilience enhancement, and reduction of self-critical processing.

The paper further proposes a mechanism-based framework linking interventions to psychological outcomes, highlighting their applicability within high-stress professional contexts. Findings suggest that while empirical evidence specific to judges remains limited, transferable results from related professions support the integration of structured psychological interventions into judicial systems. The study contributes to the literature by bridging occupational burnout research with psychotherapeutic models and offers practical directions for institutional implementation and future research.

Keywords: burnout, judges, psychotherapeutic interventions, cognitive-behavioural therapy, resilience.

Introduction

Professional burnout is a multidimensional psychological syndrome characterised by emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation, and reduced personal accomplishment, most commonly assessed using validated instruments such as the Maslach Burnout Inventory. Over the past decades, burnout has evolved from a descriptive occupational phenomenon into a central construct within clinical, organisational, and health psychology, with increasing recognition of its implications for both individual functioning and institutional performance.

Recent conceptual developments emphasise burnout as a process-based condition resulting from the prolonged imbalance between environmental demands and individual coping resources, integrating perspectives from stress theory, affective neuroscience, and cognitive appraisal models (Maslach and Leiter, 2016; Schaufeli et al., 2020). Moreover, contemporary research highlights the role of emotional regulation deficits, maladaptive cognitive schemas, and reduced psychological flexibility in the development and maintenance of burnout symptoms (Koutsimani et al., 2019; Bianchi et al., 2021). In this context, burnout is increasingly conceptualised not only as an occupational outcome but also as a occupational phenomenon that may coexist with symptoms of anxiety and depression but is not classified by WHO as a medical condition (World Health Organization, 2022).

Judicial professionals represent a particularly vulnerable group due to the complexity, responsibility, and emotional weight of their decision-making processes. Judges are routinely exposed to cognitively demanding tasks, ethical dilemmas, and emotionally charged cases, often under conditions of time pressure, social scrutiny, and institutional constraints (Miller et al., 2018; Schrever et al., 2022, Schrever et al., 2024). These demands are compounded by the requirement to maintain objectivity and emotional neutrality, which may lead to emotional suppression and cumulative psychological strain over time.

Emerging evidence suggests that prolonged exposure to such conditions can result in chronic stress, emotional exhaustion, and impaired cognitive functioning, ultimately affecting both personal well-being and professional performance (Parahyanti, 2025; Mirza and Parahyanti, 2025; Schrever et al., 2022). Furthermore, recent studies indicate that burnout among legal professionals is associated with decreased decision-making quality, increased cognitive rigidity, and reduced capacity for empathic reasoning, raising important concerns regarding the broader societal implications of judicial well-being (Fletcher and French, 2021Schrever et al., 2022; IBA, 2021, Jacobs, 2024).

In parallel, there has been growing interest in preventive and intervention-based strategies aimed at enhancing resilience and psychological well-being in high-stress professions. Contemporary approaches increasingly emphasise the integration of psychotherapeutic and personal development interventions, including cognitive-behavioural therapy, mindfulness-based interventions, and self-compassion practices, which target core psychological mechanisms such as emotional regulation, cognitive restructuring, and stress reactivity (Hölzel et al., 2011; Hülsheger et al., 2013; Goldberg et al., 2022).

Recent meta-analyses (2020–2024) provide robust evidenceprovide evidence that such interventions may reduce burnout symptoms, improve psychological flexibility, and enhance resilience across various professional contexts (Vonderlin et al., 2020; Luken and Sammons, 2016; Goldberg et al., 2022). Additionally, advances in neuroscience and network-based models of stress and recovery suggest that these interventions may facilitate adaptive neuroplastic changes, supporting more efficient emotional processing and cognitive control (Davidson and McEwen, 2012; van der Kolk, 2021).

Despite these advances, the literature on burnout interventions in judicial contexts remains limited and fragmented. Most existing studies focus either on occupational stress or on general intervention strategies, with insufficient integration of psychotherapeutic frameworks and mechanism-based explanations. This gap is particularly relevant given the unique cognitive, emotional, and ethical demands of judicial work, which require tailored and context-sensitive approaches.

Therefore, this paper aims to address this gap by providing an integrative, mechanism-based review of personal development and psychotherapeutic interventions for burnout reduction among judges. Specifically, it examines how different intervention strategies target key psychological processes, such as emotional regulation, resilience, and cognitive restructuring, and explores their applicability within judicial settings. By bridging occupational stress research with contemporary psychotherapeutic models, this study contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of burnout and offers a foundation for the development of personalised and evidence-based intervention frameworks in the judicial profession. This article presents a conceptual, integrative narrative synthesis of published research rather than a systematic review or meta-analysis. Accordingly, the proposed links between interventions, psychological mechanisms, and outcomes should be interpreted as a theoretical framework, not as effects established in a judicial sample.

1. Burnout among Judges and Psychosocial Challenges

Definition and Prevalence

Burnout in high-stress professions is defined as a syndrome resulting from prolonged exposure to occupational stressors, leading to emotional exhaustion, depersonalisation, and reduced professional efficacy (Maslach and Leiter, 2016; Lee and Ashforth, 1996). More recent conceptualisations frame burnout as a process-based condition emerging from chronic imbalance between job demands and individual coping resources, integrating cognitive, emotional, and physiological dimensions (Schaufeli et al., 2020; Bianchi et al., 2021).

In professions characterised by sustained cognitive effort, responsibility, and emotional engagement, burnout has been associated not only with psychological distress but also with impaired decision-making, reduced cognitive flexibility, and decreased professional performance (Salvagioni et al., 2017; Schrever et al., 2022). Recent studies further suggest that burnout may overlap with clinical conditions such as depression and anxiety, reinforcing its relevance within both occupational and clinical psychology frameworks (Bianchi et al., 2021; WHO, 2022).

Empirical Evidence in Judicial Contexts

Judges operate under conditions of high cognitive load, continuous decision-making pressure, and substantial responsibility for outcomes affecting individuals and society. These demands are compounded by strict procedural requirements, ethical constraints, and the expectation of impartiality, which may limit emotional expression and contribute to cumulative psychological strain (Tsai, 2010; Schrever et al., 2022).

Recent empirical evidence highlights that legal professional, including judges, are exposed to elevated levels of occupational stress, secondary traumatic stress, and emotional fatigue, particularly when dealing with sensitive or high-stakes cases (Parahyanti, 2025; Mirza and Parahyanti, 2025; Jacobs, 2024). Theoretical and empirical research further emphasises the role of role conflict, task ambiguity, and emotional burden in shaping burnout among judicial professionals (Schrever et al., 2024).

Importantly, emerging research adopts a job demands–resources (JD-R) framework, suggesting that burnout develops when high job demands, such as workload, emotional pressure, and decision responsibility, are not balanced by sufficient psychological or organisational resources (Bakker and Demerouti, 2017; Schaufeli et al., 2020). Within judicial settings, limited access to psychological support, high caseloads, and institutional constraints may exacerbate this imbalance.

Empirical findings indicate significant associations between occupational stress and burnout dimensions, with low perceived social support emerging as a critical risk factor (Maslach and Leiter, 2016; Cohen and Wills, 1985). Recent studies further demonstrate that reduced collegial support and organisational isolation are linked to higher levels of emotional exhaustion and depersonalisation among legal professionals (Schrever et al., 2022).

Moreover, burnout in judicial contexts has been associated with decreased cognitive performance, including reduced attentional control, increased decision fatigue, and impaired judgment quality (Schrever et al., 2022). These findings raise important concerns not only for individual well-being but also for the functioning and credibility of judicial systems. Importantly, intervention strategies must account for both contextual (organisational) and individual (psychological) factors (West et al., 2016). Recent developments emphasise the importance of integrating psychotherapeutic approaches that target emotional regulation, cognitive restructuring, and resilience (Goldberg et al., 2022).

Emerging pilot protocols combining mindfulness-based approaches with cognitive techniques provide promising preliminary evidence for improving well-being among judicial staff (Shapiro et al., 2005; Luken and Sammons, 2016). More recent intervention studies across high-stress professions indicate that such approaches may reduce burnout symptoms and improve psychological flexibility and adaptive coping (Vonderlin et al., 2020; Goldberg et al., 2022).

These findings highlight that burnout among judges is not merely an individual issue but a systemic phenomenon requiring integrated interventions that address both psychological processes and organisational structures.

2. Personal Development and Psychotherapeutic Interventions

Contemporary approaches to burnout increasingly emphasise process-based and mechanism-focused interventions, targeting core psychological processes such as emotional dysregulation, maladaptive cognition, physiological hyperactivation, and reduced psychological flexibility (Paul, 2018; Goldberg et al., 2022). In this context, personal development interventions can be understood as psychotherapeutic tools that operate across cognitive, emotional, and somatic domains.

Psychological counselling and cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT) remain among the most empirically supported interventions for burnout reduction (Richardson and Rothstein, 2008; Awa et al., 2010). Recent research extends these findings by highlighting the role of cognitive inflexibility, rumination, and maladaptive schemas in sustaining occupational stress (Bianchi et al., 2021; Morina et al., 2023).

CBT-based interventions target dysfunctional beliefs related to perfectionism, responsibility, and fear of error, particularly relevant in judicial contexts, while promoting adaptive coping strategies and cognitive restructuring. Emerging studies (2020–2023) also suggest that integrating third-wave CBT approaches, such as Acceptance and Commitment

Therapy (ACT), enhances psychological flexibility and reduces experiential avoidance, key mechanisms in burnout (Paul, 2018).

Mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs) have gained substantial empirical support as effective tools for reducing burnout and improving psychological well-being. These interventions enhance metacognitive awareness, attentional control, and emotional regulation, thereby reducing stress reactivity (Hölzel et al., 2011; Goldberg et al., 2022).

Recent meta-analyses (Vonderlin et al., 2020; Goldberg et al., 2022) report reductions in emotional exhaustion and improve resilience across high-stress professions. Mechanistically, mindfulness facilitates a shift from automatic reactivity to intentional cognitive processing, which is particularly relevant for judges required to maintain impartiality and cognitive clarity under pressure.

Additionally, emerging research indicates that mindfulness interventions may contribute to neuroplastic changes in brain regions associated with attention and emotional regulation, including the prefrontal cortex and amygdala (Tang et al., 2015), reinforcing their relevance from a neuropsychological perspective.

Body-based interventions such as yoga integrate physical movement, breath regulation, and meditative awareness, targeting both physiological and psychological dimensions of stress. These interventions are associated with reductions in cortisol levels, improved autonomic nervous system regulation, and enhanced emotional balance (Pascoe and Baurer, 2015; Cramer et al., 2017).

Latest studies emphasise the importance of bottom-up regulation mechanisms, whereby bodily states influence emotional and cognitive processes (van der Kolk, 2021). In high-stress professions, including the judiciary, such interventions may counteract chronic physiological arousal and reduce somatic manifestations of stress.

Nature-based interventions, including ecotherapy and exposure to green environments, have been increasingly recognised as effective strategies for psychological restoration. These approaches operate through mechanisms such as attention restoration, reduction of cognitive fatigue, and emotional recalibration (Kuo, 2015; Hunter et al., 2019).

Recent research (2020–2023) suggests that exposure to natural environments is associated with improved mood, reduced stress biomarkers, and enhanced cognitive functioning (Bratman et al., 2019). For judges, whose work involves sustained cognitive load, such interventions may serve as effective complementary strategies for recovery and mental restoration.

Self-care and reflective practices, including breathing techniques, journaling, and boundary-setting, play a critical role in burnout prevention. Recent research highlights self-compassion as a key protective factor, associated with reduced self-criticism, improved emotional regulation, and increased resilience (Germer and Neff, 2013; Ferrari et al., 2019).

In high-responsibility professions, individuals often exhibit heightened self-criticism and perfectionism. Interventions targeting these processes, particularly through self-compassion training, have been shown to reduce burnout and improve psychological well-being (Kirby et al., 2017).

Increasingly, research supports the effectiveness of integrated, multimodal interventions, combining cognitive, emotional, and behavioural techniques (West et al., 2016; Vonderlin et al., 2020). Such approaches reflect the complexity of burnout, which cannot be addressed through single-method interventions.

In judicial contexts, integrated programmes may include:

- CBT-based workshops;
- mindfulness training;
- peer-support groups;
- structured self-care protocols.

These approaches suggest that burnout interventions operate through a set of shared psychological mechanisms rather than isolated techniques. A mechanism-based perspective allows for a more integrated understanding of how different interventions contribute to psychological recovery and resilience.

The core psychotherapeutic mechanisms underlying these interventions are synthesised in Table 1, which links intervention types to their primary psychological targets and expected outcomes.

Table 1. Mechanisms of Psychotherapeutic Interventions in Burnout Reduction

Intervention	Core Mechanism	Psychological Target	Outcome
CBT / Counselling	Cognitive restructuring	Maladaptive beliefs	Reduced emotional exhaustion
ACT / Third-wave CBT	Psychological flexibility	Experiential avoidance	Improved coping
Mindfulness	Metacognitive awareness	Emotional reactivity	Reduced stress
Yoga / Body-based	Physiological regulation	Autonomic imbalance	Reduced somatic stress
Ecotherapy	Cognitive restoration	Mental fatigue	Improved well-being
Self-compassion	Reduced self-criticism	Negative self-evaluation	Increased resilience

Note: Conceptual synthesis of intervention mechanisms.

3. Psychotherapeutic Mechanisms, Intervention Effectiveness, and Implications for Judicial Practice

From a psychotherapeutic perspective, burnout among judges can be conceptualised as the outcome of sustained emotional overload, maladaptive cognitive patterns, and insufficient psychological recovery. Contemporary research suggests that effective interventions operate through a set of core psychological mechanisms that mediate the relationship between occupational stressors and functional outcomes.

Core Psychological Mechanisms of Intervention Effectiveness

The interventions reviewed in this study converge on several key psychological processes.

First, emotional self-regulation represents a central mechanism through which interventions such as mindfulness, yoga, and psychological counselling reduce stress reactivity and facilitate adaptive responses to demanding professional situations (Hölzel et al., 2011; Cramer et al., 2017). By enhancing the capacity to modulate emotional responses, these approaches contribute to decreased emotional exhaustion and improved psychological stability.

Second, resilience and adaptive coping are strengthened through interventions that support individuals' ability to recover from stress and maintain functional performance under pressure (Shapiro et al., 2005; Davidson and McEwen, 2012; Maslach and Leiter, 2016). In

judicial contexts, resilience is particularly relevant due to the cumulative nature of cognitive and emotional demands.

Third, social support and professional integration function as protective factors against burnout. Interventions incorporating group-based components or peer interaction have been shown to enhance perceived social support, which is associated with lower levels of stress and improved well-being (Cohen and Wills, 1985).

Additionally, contemporary psychotherapeutic approaches emphasise the importance of reducing self-criticism and negative self-evaluation, particularly through self-compassion-based practices (Neff and Germer, 2013). These processes are especially relevant in professions characterised by high responsibility and evaluative pressure, such as the judiciary. Taken together, these mechanisms, emotional regulation, resilience, social support, and self-compassion, form an integrated psychological foundation through which interventions exert their effects.

Integrated Psychotherapeutic Intervention Model

The convergence of these mechanisms supports a mechanism-based, integrative model of intervention, in which different therapeutic approaches act synergistically rather than independently.

Cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT) targets maladaptive cognitive patterns and dysfunctional beliefs associated with professional stress, enabling cognitive restructuring and more adaptive appraisal of demanding situations. Mindfulness-based interventions enhance metacognitive awareness and promote non-judgmental processing of emotional experiences, thereby reducing automatic reactivity. Body-based practices, such as yoga, contribute to physiological regulation and reduction of stress-related somatic activation.

Furthermore, self-compassion and reflective practices foster adaptive self-relations, reducing perfectionism and internal pressure, while enhancing psychological flexibility. These mechanisms align with contemporary developments in psychotherapy, particularly process-based and personalised approaches, which emphasise targeting underlying processes rather than isolated symptoms.

Thus, burnout interventions can be conceptualised not as discrete techniques but as components of a broader psychotherapeutic system aimed at restoring balance across cognitive, emotional, and physiological domains.

Transferability to Judicial Contexts

Although much of the empirical evidence originates from professions such as healthcare and education, the underlying psychological mechanisms may be relevant across occupational contexts, although direct transferability to judges requires empirical evaluation (Maslach and Leiter, 2016; West et al., 2016).

Judges, who operate in environments characterised by high cognitive load, emotional restraint, and ethical responsibility, may particularly benefit from interventions that:

- reduce emotional exhaustion,
- enhance cognitive clarity,
- and strengthen adaptive coping strategies.

The alignment between identified mechanisms and the demands of judicial work supports the applicability of these interventions within legal contexts.

Institutional and Practical Implications

The findings of this review have direct implications for the design of institutional well-being strategies within judicial systems. First, integrating psychotherapeutic and personal development interventions into continuous professional training programmes may represent a key preventive strategy. These may include:

- mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) programmes,
- cognitive-behavioural workshops,
- resilience and coping training modules,
- and access to structured psychological counselling services.

Second, organisational policies should support psychological well-being and reduce barriers to help-seeking, including stigma associated with mental health support. Creating supportive professional environments, encouraging work-life balance, and facilitating peer interaction may further enhance intervention effectiveness (Hülsheger et al., 2013).

Recent international initiatives (CCJE, 2025; IBA, 2021) increasingly recognise the importance of judicial well-being as a component of institutional effectiveness and justice quality. In this context, burnout prevention should be considered not only an individual concern but also a system-level priority.

Toward a Systemic and Sustainable Model

Ultimately, the integration of psychotherapeutic interventions within judicial systems has the potential to generate multilevel benefits, including:

- improved individual well-being,
- enhanced decision-making quality,
- increased professional satisfaction,
- and strengthened institutional performance.

A shift toward integrated, evidence-based, and mechanism-focused intervention models is therefore essential for addressing burnout in high-responsibility professions such as the judiciary.

Conclusion

The existing body of evidence indicates that personal development and psychotherapeutic interventions, including psychological counselling, mindfulness-based approaches, yoga and body-based practices, nature-based activities, and self-care strategies, have shown potential benefits in reducing burnout symptoms and enhancing psychological well-being across high-stress professions. These interventions operate through shared psychological mechanisms, particularly emotional regulation, cognitive restructuring, resilience building, and the reduction of maladaptive self-evaluation.

Despite evidence of benefits in other occupational groups, the systematic implementation of such interventions within judicial systems remains limited. Current applications are often fragmented or individualised, rather than embedded within structured institutional frameworks. This represents a significant gap, given the high cognitive, emotional, and ethical demands associated with judicial roles, which make judges particularly vulnerable to chronic stress and burnout.

The findings of this review support a shift from isolated intervention strategies toward a mechanism-based, integrative approach, in which psychotherapeutic and personal development techniques are understood as complementary components targeting core

psychological processes. Such an approach is consistent with contemporary developments in psychotherapy, emphasising process-based and personalised interventions.

Evidence from pilot protocols and studies conducted in other high-stress professional domains provides a robust foundation for adapting these interventions to judicial contexts. However, further empirical research is needed to evaluate their long-term effectiveness, contextual adaptability, and impact on both individual well-being and professional functioning among judges.

Future research should prioritise the development and testing of structured, institutionally supported intervention models, integrating psychological counselling, mindfulness-based programmes, and resilience training within judicial systems. In parallel, longitudinal and controlled studies are required to better understand the sustainability of intervention effects and their influence on decision-making quality, professional satisfaction, and organisational outcomes.

Credit Authorship Contribution Statement

Conceptualization: Bădele, M.: Conceptualization, Investigation, Data curation, Literature review, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Maier, N. : Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Theoretical interpretation, Writing – review & editing. Both authors: Conceptualization, Formal analysis, Writing – review & editing, and approval of the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The author(s) acknowledge the contribution of prior research that has informed and advanced the understanding of burnout mechanisms and intervention approaches discussed in this study. No external funding was received for this work.

Data Availability Statement

This study is based on an integrative review of previously published literature. No new datasets were generated or analysed during the current study. Therefore, data sharing is not applicable.

Ethical Approval Statement

This study is based exclusively on a review and synthesis of existing literature and does not involve human participants or animal subjects. Therefore, ethical approval was not required.

References

Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2017). Job demands–resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 22*(3), 273–285. https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000056

Bianchi, R., Verkuilen, J., Brisson, R., Schonfeld, I. S., & Laurent, E. (2021). Burnout and depression: Label-related stigma, help-seeking, and syndrome overlap. *Psychiatry Research, 295*, 113644. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113644

Bratman, G. N., Anderson, C. B., Berman, M. G., Cochran, B., De Vries, S., Flanders, J., Folke, C., Frumkin, H., Gross, J. J., Hartig, T., et al. (2019). Nature and mental health: An ecosystem service perspective. *Science Advances, 5*(7). https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.aax0903

Consultative Council of European Judges. (2025). Opinion No. 28 (2025) on the importance of judicial well-being for the delivery of justice. https://vkksu.gov.ua/sites/default/files/opinion_no_28_2025_of_the_ccje_published_1.pdf

Cohen, S., & Wills, T. A. (1985). Stress, social support, and the buffering hypothesis. *Psychological Bulletin, 98*(2), 310–357. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.98.2.310

Collie, R. J., Shapka, J. D., & Perry, N. E. (2021). School climate and social-emotional learning: Predicting teacher stress, job satisfaction, and teaching efficacy. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 113*(3), 540–556. https://doi.org/10.1037/edu0000456

Cramer, H., L. R., Klose, P., Lange, S., Langhorst, J., & Dobos, G. J. (2017). Yoga for improving health-related quality of life, mental health and cancer-related symptoms. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 84 - 86. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010802.pub2

Davidson, R., & McEwen, B. (2012). Social influences on neuroplasticity: stress and interventions to promote well-being. *Nature Neuroscience*, *15*, 689–695 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.3093

Ferrari, M., Hunt, C., Harrysunker, A., Abbott, M. J., Beath, A. P., & Einstein, D. A. (2019). Self-compassion interventions and psychosocial outcomes: A meta-analysis of RCTs. *Mindfulness*, *10*, 1455–1473. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-019-01134-6

Fletcher, K. A., & French, K. A. (2021). Longitudinal effects of transitioning into a first-time leadership position on wellbeing and self-concept. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, *26*(6), 469-490. https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000302

Germer, C. K., & Neff, K. D. (2013). Self-compassion in clinical practice. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, *69*(8), 856–867. https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.22021

Goldberg, S. B., Tucker, R. P., Greene, P. A., Simpson, T. L., Hoyt, W. T., & Kearney, D. J. (2022). Mindfulness-based interventions for psychiatric disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clinical Psychology Review, 91*, 102124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2021.102124

Hölzel, B. K., Lazar, S. W. et al. […], & Ott, U. (2011). How does mindfulness meditation work. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, *6*(6): 537–559. https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691611419

Hülsheger, U. R., Alberts, H. J. E. M., Feinholdt, A., & Lang, J. W. B. (2013). Benefits of mindfulness at work: The role of mindfulness in emotion regulation, emotional exhaustion, and job satisfaction. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 98*(2), 310–325. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031313

Hunter R.F., C. C., Cleary A., Droomers M., Wheeler B.W., Sinnett D., Nieuwenhuijsen M.J., Braubach M. (2019). Environmental, health, wellbeing, social and equity effects of urban green space interventions: A meta-narrative evidence synthesis. *Environment International*, 130, 104923. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.104923

International Bar Association. (2021). Mental wellbeing in the legal profession: A global study. https://www.ibanet.org/document?id=IBA-report-Mental-Wellbeing-in-the-Legal-Profession-A-Global-Study

Jacobs, C. (2024). Occupational Stress and Burnout. In Burnout Syndrome - Characteristics and Interventions. IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.1003104

Kirby, J. N., Tellegen, C. L., & Steindl, S. R. (2017). A Meta-Analysis of Compassion-Based Interventions: Current State of Knowledge and Future Directions. *Behaviour Therapy*, *48*(6), 778-792. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2017.06.003

van der Kolk, B. A. (2021). *The body keeps the score: Brain, mind, and body in the healing of trauma*. Penguin Books. https://ia601604.us.archive.org/35/items/the-body-keeps-the-score-pdf/The-Body-Keeps-the-Score-PDF.pdf

Kuo, M. (2015). How might contact with nature promote human health? Promising mechanisms and a possible central pathway. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *6*, 1093. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01093

Lee, R. T., & Ashforth, B. E. (1996). A meta-analytic examination of the correlates of the three dimensions of job burnout. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *81*(2), 123–133. https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.81.2.123

Luken, M., & Sammons, A. (2016). Systematic Review of Mindfulness Practice for Reducing Job Burnout. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy,* 70(2), 192-202. https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2016.016956

Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2016). Understanding the burnout experience: recent research and its implications for psychiatry. *World Psychiatry,* 15: 103-111. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20311

Miller, M. K., Reichert, J., Bornstein, B. H., & Shulman, G. (2018). Judicial stress: the roles of gender and social support. *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law*, *25*(4), 602–618. https://doi.org/10.1080/13218719.2018.1469436

Mirza, M., & Parahyanti, E. (2025). Meaningful Work Protects Judges with Occupational Stress, Secondary Traumatic Stress, and Burnout: (A Study of Indonesian Judges of The Supreme Court of the Republic of Indonesia). *Jurnal Hukum Dan Peradilan*, *14*(1), 91–130. https://doi.org/10.25216/jhp.14.1.2025.91-130

Morina, N., Seidemann, J., Andor, T., Sondern, L., Bürkner, P.-C., Drenckhan, I., & Buhlmann, U. (2023). The effectiveness of cognitive behavioural therapy for social anxiety disorder in routine clinical practice. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*, *30*(2), 335–343. https://doi.org/10.1002/cpp.2799

Pascoe, M. C., & Bauer, I. E. (2015). A systematic review of randomised control trials on the effects of yoga on stress measures and mood. Journal of Psychiatric Research, Volume 68, 270-282. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2015.07.013

Paul, H. A. (2018). Process-Based CBT: The Science and Core Clinical Competencies of Cognitive Behaviour Therapy. *Child & Family Behaviour Therapy, 40*(4), 320–326. https://doi.org/10.1080/07317107.2018.1522153

Pereira, S. P. M., Correia, P. M. A. R., Da Palma, P. J., Pitacho, L., & Lunardi, F. C. (2022). The Conceptual Model of Role Stress and Job Burnout in Judges: The Moderating Role of Career Calling. *Laws*, *11*(3), 42. https://doi.org/10.3390/laws11030042

Richardson, K. M., & Rothstein, H. R. (2008). Effects of occupational stress management intervention programs: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 13*(1), 69–93. https://doi.org/10.1037/1076-8998.13.1.69

Schrever, C., Hulbert, C., & Sourdin, T. (2022). Where stress presides: Predictors and correlates of stress among australian judges and magistrates. *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, 29*(2), 290–322. https://doi.org/10.1080/13218719.2021.1904456

Schrever, C., Hulbert, C., & Sourdin, T. (2024). The privilege and the pressure: judges' and magistrates' reflections on the sources and impacts of stress in judicial work. *Psychiatry, Psychology and Law, 31*(3), 327-380. https://doi.org/10.1080/13218719.2024.2335913

Shapiro, S. L., Astin, J. A., Bishop, S. R., & Cordova, M. (2005). Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction for Health Care Professionals: Results from a Randomized Trial. *International Journal of Stress Management, 12*(2), 164–176. https://doi.org/10.1037/1072-5245.12.2.164

Schaufeli, W. B., De Witte, H., & Desart, S. (2020). Burnout assessment tool (BAT) - Development, validity, and reliability. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17*(24), 9495. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17249495

Tang, Y. Y., Hölzel, B. K., & Posner, M. I. (2015). The neuroscience of mindfulness meditation. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 16*, 213–225. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3916

Tsai, F. J., & Chan, C. C. (2010). Occupational stress and burnout of judges and procurators. *International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health*, *83*(2), 133–142. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-009-0454-1

Vonderlin, R., Biermann, M., Bohus, M., & Lyssenko, L. (2020). Mindfulness-based programs in the workplace: A meta-analysis. *Mindfulness, 11*(7), 1579–1598. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-020-01328-3

World Health Organization. (2022). Mental health at work: Policy brief. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240053052

West, C. P., Dyrbye, L. N., Erwin, P. J., & Shanafelt, T. D. (2016). Interventions to prevent and reduce physician burnout: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Lancet, 388*(10057), 2272-2281. https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(16)31279-X/abstract